This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the i	tems checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR	QUALITY
□ OTHER:	and the state of t

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/770,148	01/26/2001	Gary Douglas Huber	M-9876US	7341
27683 7:	590 08/24/2004		EXAM	INER
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202		_P	MARTINEZ, DAVID E	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/770,148	HUBER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David E Martinez	2182		
The MAILING DATE of this commu	unication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON oly will, by statute, cause the application to become AE s after the mailing date of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>17 June 2004</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the				
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October</u>		bjected to by the Examiner.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected	ng the correction is required if the drawing to by the Examiner. Note the attached			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat		application No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	- -			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	•	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/770,148

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of US Patent No. 5,768,163 to Smith, II.(Smith).

- 1. With regards to claims 1 and 17, AAPA teaches a mobile computing system comprising of:
 - a personal computer (PC) system [fig 1];
- a personal digital assistant (PDA) system [fig 2] that interfaces to the PC system [page 3 lines 13-20];
 - a PC chassis [page 3, lines 21-24];

and a PDA chassis housing the PDA system [fig 2] wherein the PC chassis houses the PC system and the PDA chassis, whereby the PDA chassis may be removed from the PC chassis,

disconnecting an interface of the PDA system [fig 2 element 220] to the PC system, and providing an independent PDA system [figs 1, 2, page 3, lines 3-24]; and

the PDA chassis including:

a memory [fig 2, page 3 lines 3-12], a touch display screen [fig 2, element 205 page 3 lines 3-12], and a rechargeable battery [fig 2, page 3 lines 3-12];

AAPA teaches all of the above limitations except for the PDA chassis including

Application/Control Number: 09/770,148

Art Unit: 2182

a low power processor; a connection bus hardware; and a mini-dock connector to provide connection to the PC chassis.

However, teaches Smith teaches a PDA chassis including a connection bus hardware with a mini-dock connector to provide connection to a PC chassis [figs 1-7, 10, column 1 line 40 to column 2 line 34] for the benefit increasing the ease of sharing of information between both the PDA and PC devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of both AAPA and Smith to have the PDA chassis to include a connection bus hardware with a mini-dock connector to provide a connection to a PC chassis [figs 1-7, 10, column 1 line 40 to column 2 line 34] for the benefit increasing the ease of sharing of information between both the PDA and PC devices.

As per the PDA including a low power processor, it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of the invention to provide mobile devices in general such as a PDA with low power processors for the benefit of consuming less power from the battery, thus allowing the device to operate for a longer time and decreasing the frequency between recharges of it's battery.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of AAPA with those that are well known to have the PDA chassis include a low power processor for the benefit of consuming less power from the battery, thus allowing the device to operate for a longer time and decrease the frequency between recharges of it's battery.

2. With regards to claim 2, AAPA teaches the mobile computing system of claim 1 wherein the PDA system is further comprised of:

an input device [fig 2, elements 205, 210, page 3 lines 3-12].

Art Unit: 2182

- 3. With regards to claim 3, AAPA teaches the mobile computing system of claim 2 further comprising: an antenna for wireless communications [fig 2, element 215, page 3 lines 3-12].
- 4. With regards to claims 4, 5, and 6, AAPA teaches wherein the PC chassis further comprises one or more expansion bays [fig 1, elements 110, 115], wherein the PDA chassis is placed in one of the bays [page 2 lines 16-21, page 3, lines 13-22].
- 5. With regards to claims 7, 8, and 9, AAPA teaches wherein the PDA chassis is placed in the interior of the PC chassis [fig 1, elements 110, 115, page 2 lines 16-21, page 3, lines 13-22].
- 6. With regards to claims 10-15, AAPA fails to teach wherein the PDA chassis is placed on the exterior of the PC chassis, and on the top of the PC chassis.

However, Smith teaches the use of a connector for connecting a PDA with a PC chassis [figs 1-7, 10, column 1 line 40 to column 2 line 34] for the benefit increasing the ease of sharing of information between devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of both AAPA and Smith to provide the PDA chassis is able to be placed on both the exterior and on top of the PC chassis for the benefit of increasing the ease of sharing of information between devices.

- 7. With regards to claims 16, AAPA teaches the mobile computing system of claim 1 further comprising: a common display shared by the PC system and the PDA system, and a common set of input output devices shared by the PC system and the PDA system [page 3, lines 13-22].
- 8. With further regards to claim 17, AAPA teaches a method of integrating a removable PDA system [fig 2] with a PC system [fig 1] comprised of:

connecting the PDA system to the PC system by a separable interface [page 3 lines 3-24];

Application/Control Number: 09/770,148

Art Unit: 2182

isolating control to either PDA system or PC system when instructed by a user or a predetermined system logic [page 3, lines 13-22].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the previously mailed Office Action dated 3/15/04 (Paper No. 6) does not disclose the newly added limitation of amended claims 1 and 17 directed to the PDA chassis.

The current Office Action discloses the newly added limitations directed to the PDA chassis as shown above in the "Claim Rejection" section.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

"http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apple_Newton" Printout discloses a PDA made from 1993 to 1998 by Apple Computer that uses a low power ARM processor.

"http://www.theturnbulls.fsworld.co.uk/riscos/proces.htm" Printout discloses Arm processors are low power processors [page 1 "From Acorns to Apples"]

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2182

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E Martinez whose telephone number is (703) 305-4890. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEM

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100